13th August 1954]

	Magistrate.	Headquarters.	Jurisdiction. (taluk).
	Second class Magistrates—cont.		
10	Second-class Magistrate.	Palghat II.	Alathur sub-taluk and Ottapalam sub taluk.
11	Do.	Tirurangudi.	Tirurangudi sub-taluk and Tirur sub-taluk.

(Existing arrangements in Cochin for transaction of civil and criminal judicial work will be continued under the new set up.)"

SRI M. NARAYANA KURUP :—" With regard to the location of these courts and prescribing jurisdiction for them, may I know whether the Government will watch the working of the scheme and make necessary revisionary proposals as and when found necessary? "

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" Since separation, Magistrates with only judicial functions have been appointed and, therefore, there has been a lessening in the number of courts. So jurisdiction of the court had to be re-arranged. In that, I am prepared to admit there might be some grievance here and there and some hardship might have been created. But, let us see for a while how this works and, if necessary, the whole matter will be gone into and necessary revisions and reorganizations may be made."

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—" Will the Hon. Minister be good enough to state whether he has received representations from the sub-tauk of Taliparamba in Chirakkal taluk that as the Second-class Magistrate's Court, Cannanore, is situated at about 20 to 25 miles' distance from certain areas of their place, they are put to great difficulty and that the Government may be pleased to locate it at Taliparamba, and if this is not possible, at least to hold the sitting of the court in that place for three days in a week?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" I have received numerous representations from all centres. If every representation is to be looked into, we should have 10 to 15 courts more. Unfortunately, it is not possible to do it. That is why I said, ' Let us see how the present arrangement works and if necessary revision can be made later on '."

Taxation Enquiry Commission.

* 1327 Q.—SRI A. RATNAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state— 10-20 a.m.

(*a*) whether the Government have advised the Hon. Members of the Legislative Assembly and Council to give evidence before the Taxation Enquiry Commission which met at Madras in April 1954; and

(b) the steps taken by the Government through their representatives to press their view-points on the question of taxation on various subjects and commodities?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" (a) No, Sir. The Taxation Enquiry Commission itself selected the non-official witnesses heard by it.

" (b) The Taxation Enquiry Commission had discussions with the Ministers and Secretaries to Government, and adequate steps were taken to press the view-points of this Government through them."

Recommendations of the Industrial Health Advisory Committee.

* 1328 Q.—

MR. SPEAKER :—" The hon. Member Sri N. Ramakrishna Ayyar is not in his place. The answer to the question will be printed in the proceedings."

SRI N. RAMAKRISHNA AYYAR : Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Union Government have made any recommendations to this Government to take steps to reduce the incidence of occupational diseases and health hazards in various industries ; and

(b) if so, what the State Government have done to implement the recommendations?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" (a) Yes, Sir. The Government of India have forwarded to this Government the recommendations of the Industrial Health Advisory Committee of the Indian Council of Medical Research for the creation of an Industrial Health Council and an Industrial Health Organization in all Major States having large scale industries.

" (b) The proposal is under the consideration of this Government."

Construction of bridges over the rivers Udayavar, Mulki and Pavanje between Mangalore and Udipi.

* 1329 Q.—SRI L. C. PAIS (on behalf of Sri T. Ananta Pai) : Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have received any representations during the visit of the Hon. Finance Minister to South Kanara that bridges over the rivers Udayavar, Mulki and Pavanje should be built between Mangalore and Udipi ;

(b) whether there was an offer of Rs. 25 lakhs or the estimated cost as a loan to take up these works ;

(c) whether there was a suggestion to collect tolls even after the construction of these bridges to make up a part of the cost; and